

United States Patent and Trademark Office

<u> 11'n</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,021	02/09/2005	Yoshiji Yamada	050070	3971
	7590 03/15/200 , KRATZ, QUINTOS,	EXAMINER		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			GREENE, JAIME M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1609	
	······································			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/524,021	YAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Jaime M. Greene	1609			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	/ IO OFT TO EVELOG	(O) OD THETH (O) DAVE			
WHIC - Extensions after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute exply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.	·.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Applicatio	on Papers					
_		_				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Evaminer			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- ' '				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
		priority under 25 H C C \$ 110/o	.) (d) or (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(a	(i)-(u) Or (i).			
,	 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	ion No.			
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	•	J			
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmont/	(a)	•				
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1, 5, 9, 13, drawn to a first invention involving genes selected from apolipoprotein E, glycoprotein Ia, Tumor necrosis factor-alpha, G-protein beta3 subunit, apolipoprotein C-III, and angiotensin.

Group 2, claim(s) 2, 6, 10, and 14, drawn to a second invention involving genes selected from thrombospondin 4, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, thrombomodulin, thrombopoietin, and platelet-activating factory acetylhydrolase.

Group 3, claim(s) 3, 7, 11, and 15, drawn to a third invention involving genes selected from E-selectin, fatty acid-binding protein 2, glycoprotein lb-alpha, plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, paraxonase, and apolipoprotein E.

Group 4, claim(s) 4, 8, 12, and 16 drawn to a fourth invention involving genes selected from plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, apoliprotein C-III, paraoxonase, glycoprotein Ibalpha, and apolipoprotein E

Note: see also election below.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-4 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: group 1 requires a combination of the genes Apolipoprotein E, glycoprotein Ia, Tumor necrosis factoralpha, G-protein beta3 subunit, apolipoprotein C-III, and angiotensin, which are not

Art Unit: 1609

required for groups 2, 3, and 4. Therefore, there is no unity of invention between groups 1, 2, 3, and 4.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 1, 5, 9, and 12 involve a set of 57 species.

Claims 2, 6, 10, and 13 involve another set of species.

Claims 3, 7, 11, and 14 involve another set of species

Claims 4, 8, 12, and 15 involve another set of species.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons stated in the international search report provided by the Japanese Patent Office:

"First, discussion will be made on analyses of 2 or more polymorphisms selected from among the group consisting of (1) to (6) described in claim 1. Since analyses of 2 or more arbitrary polymorphisms selected from the group consisting of (1) to (6) are all involved in this case, the invention as set forth in claim 1 involves the step of analyzing 57 combinations of polymorphisms, namely, 15 combinations of 2 polymorphisms selected from among the 6 polymorphisms, 20 combinations of 3 polymorphisms and 1 combination of 6 polymorphisms. To regard that these 57 combinations of

Art Unit: 1609

polymorphisms fulfill the requirement of unity of invention, they should be so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In other words, there should be a technical relevancy involving the same "special technical features" among these combinations. The expression "special technical features" as used herein means those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over "the prior art" (see, if needed, PCT Rule 13.2). As stated in...the description of the present case, however, polymorphisms seemingly relating to restenosis after coronary angioplasty had been publicly known before the priority date of the present case. Accordingly, mere use of polymorphisms relating to restenosis after coronary angioplasty in diagnosing the risk, etc. cannot be considered as a "special technical feature". Even though the statement of the description of the present case is examined, any other "special technical feature" (for example, a technical feature that defines "all" of these 57 combinations enables more accurate risk diagnosis than the prior art) can be neither confirmed nor estimated. Such being the case, the 57 combinations of polymorphisms cannot be considered as a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. The same applies to 26 combinations of polymorphisms as described in claims 2 and 4 and 57 combinations of polymorphisms as described in claim 3. Therefore, it can be concluded that the present application has 166 inventions corresponding respectively to the 166 combinations of polymorphisms."

All of the claims involve a combination of 2 or more polymorphisms. There are 166 different combinations of polymorphisms, and each combination is considered as a separate species.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species, corresponding to the elected invention, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaime M. Greene whose telephone number is 571-270-3052. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-5:00pm, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mary Mosher can be reached on 571-272-0906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

· JMG 3/12/07

ZACHARIAH LUCAS PATENT EXAMINER